Before the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission State of Minnesota

In the Matter of the	Application of M	innesota Energy	Resources C	Corporation f	for Authority to
	Increase Rates for	or Natural Gas So	ervice in Mir	nnesota	

Docket No. G011/GR17-563

Exhibit ____

Capital Structure and Cost of Capital

October 13, 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS	1
II.	PRESENTATION OF RATE OF RETURN	4
III.	COST OF DEBT	5
IV.	WEC/INTEGRYS MERGER DOCKET COMPLIANCE: BORROWING AND COST OF DEBT	10
V.	THE COMMON EQUITY RATIO	12
VI.	CONCLUSION	16

1		I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS
2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
3	A.	My name is Lisa J. Gast. My business address is WEC Business Services, LLC
4		("WBS"), 700 North Adams Street, P.O. Box 19001, Green Bay, WI 54307.
5		
6	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND WHAT IS YOUR POSITION?
7	A.	I work at WBS as Manager, Financial Planning and Analysis in the Treasury Department.
8		WBS is the successor to Integrys Business Support, LLC following the acquisition of
9		Integrys Holdings Inc., formerly Integrys Energy Group, Inc. ("Integrys"), by Wisconsin
10		Energy Corporation, which became WEC Energy Group, Inc. ("WEC"). Both Minnesota
11		Energy Resources Corporation ("MERC" or the "Company") and WBS are wholly-
12		owned subsidiaries of WEC.
13		
14	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE.
15	A.	I earned a bachelor's degree in accounting from the University of Wisconsin – Green
16		Bay, and a master's degree in business administration from the University of Wisconsin -
17		Oshkosh. I am a Certified Public Accountant. I joined the Treasury Department at
18		Wisconsin Public Service Corporation, a subsidiary of WEC, in April of 2001. My
19		responsibilities include regulatory support, financial forecasts, and project and business
20		analysis.
21		
22	Q.	FOR WHOM ARE YOU PROVIDING TESTIMONY?
23	A.	I am providing testimony on behalf of MERC.

1		
2	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
3	A.	The purpose of my Direct Testimony is to:
4		1. Present MERC's capital structure and cost of capital for the 2016 historical year,
5		the 2017 projected year, and the 2018 proposed test year;
6		2. Explain the differences in adjusted common equity between the 2016 historical
7		year and the 2018 proposed test year;
8		3. Address other compliance issues related to MERC's borrowing and cost of debt;
9		4. Describe the required Common Equity Ratio for the 2018 proposed test year,
10		MERC's proposed cost of debt capital, and MERC's weighted average cost of
11		capital; and
12		5. Describe the required Return on Common Equity ("ROE") for the 2018 proposed
13		test year.
14		
15	Q.	DOES MERC PRESENT ANY OTHER EVIDENCE ON COST OF CAPITAL?
16	A.	Yes. The Direct Testimony of Ann Bulkley of Concentric Energy Advisors provides
17		evidence regarding MERC's cost of capital. She presents analytical studies employing
18		various industry models to derive her recommendation for the return on common equity
19		that MERC is requesting in this case.
20		
21	Q.	IS MERC PUBLICLY OWNED?

22 A. Yes, via its parent. MERC is a wholly-owned indirect subsidiary of WEC. WEC is 23 traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "WEC."

1		
2	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR TESTIMONY AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE
3		MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION ("COMMISSION").
4	A.	For the 2018 proposed test year, MERC requests that the Commission approve an overall
5		cost of capital of 7.02 percent. This cost of capital is based on a common equity ratio of
6		50.90 percent for the test year, and a 10.30 percent cost of common equity as supported
7		in my testimony and in the testimony of Ann Bulkley. The recommended capital
8		structure and ROE will ensure that MERC has access to capital at reasonable rates when
9		MERC needs it, thereby benefiting its customers.
10		
11	Q.	ARE YOU SPONSORING ANY EXHIBITS IN CONNECTION WITH YOUR
12		TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
13	A.	Yes, I am sponsoring:
14		• Exhibit (LJG-1): 2016-2018 Capital Structure.
15		
16		I also sponsor Informational Requirement 16 in Volume III of the filing, which presents
17		the 2016 actual, 2017 projected, and 2018 proposed test year capital structure and cost of
18		capital for WEC Energy Group on a consolidated basis.
19		
20	Q.	WERE THE EXHIBITS PREPARED BY YOU OR UNDER YOUR SUPERVISION?
21	A.	Yes, they were.

1		II. PRESENTATION OF RATE OF RETURN
2	Q.	HOW DO YOU PRESENT THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED CAPITAL STRUCTURE,
3		COST OF CAPITAL, AND RATE OF RETURN FOR THE 2018 TEST YEAR?
4	A.	In general, Pages 1 through 4 of Exhibit (LJG-1) support and calculate MERC's
5		capital structure, cost of capital, and required rate of return for the 2016 historical year,
6		2017 projected year, and 2018 proposed test year. Thirteen-month average balances are
7		used to derive the cost rates in these exhibits.
8		
9		Page 1 develops MERC's overall rates of return of 6.68 percent and 6.50 percent for
10		2016 and 2017, respectively, using the calculated cost rates for debt from pages 2 and 3,
11		and a 9.11 percent ROE. This schedule also develops MERC's overall rate of return of
12		7.02 percent for the 2018 proposed test year using the recommended 10.30 percent ROE.
13		
14		Page 2 develops MERC's embedded cost of long-term debt of 4.96 percent and 4.02
15		percent for 2016 and 2017, respectively. This schedule also calculates MERC's 2018
16		proposed test year embedded cost of long-term debt of 3.60 percent.
17		
18		Page 3 calculates MERC's average cost of short-term debt of 1.58 percent and 2.72
19		percent for 2016 and 2017, respectively. This schedule also develops MERC's 2018
20		proposed test year weighted cost of short-term debt of 3.70 percent.
21		
22		Page 4 develops MERC's 13-month average balance of Adjusted Common Equity for the
23		2016 historical year, 2017 projected year, and 2018 proposed test year.

1	

2		III. COST OF DEBT
3	Q.	HOW DOES MERC'S OVERALL COST OF DEBT FOR THE 2018 TEST YEAR
4		COMPARE TO ITS COST OF DEBT IN RECENT YEARS?
5	A.	As illustrated on page 1 of Exhibit(LJG-1), MERC's weighted cost of debt decreases
6		from 4.11 percent in 2016 to 3.62 percent in the 2018 test year. The overall decrease
7		exists because the decrease in MERC's cost of long-term debt more than offsets the
8		forecasted increase in short-term debt rates.
9		
10	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN THE DECREASE IN FORECASTED LONG-TERM DEBT
11		RATES.
12	A.	MERC's average long-term debt rate has dropped with each maturity or refinancing of its
13		initial intercompany long-term debt. In April 2016, the \$29 million 6.16 percent 10-year
14		issue matured. While the Company initially intended to issue new external long-term
15		debt in 2016, the new issuance was deferred until 2017 when legal and accounting
16		documentation was in place. The debt that matured in April 2016 was therefore replaced
17		with short-term debt until June 2017. In June, this issue and all of the remaining
18		intercompany long-term debt issuances were replaced with external private placement
19		long-term debt issuances. The market was favorable and MERC was able to increase its
20		long-term debt outstanding by \$13 million compared to March 2016 with a decrease to
21		long-term interest expense compared to 2016.
22		

1	These new issues are summarized in Table 1 below, with details presented on Exhibit
2	(LJG-1), page 2 of 4.

3 Table 1
4 Cost of Long-Term Debt

Amount of Long-Term Debt	Term	Rate
\$40 million	10 years	3.11%
\$40 million	15 years	3.41%
\$40 million	30 years	4.01%

5

6 Q. HOW ARE THE RATES FOR THE INTERCOMPANY SHORT-TERM DEBT FROM

7 INTEGRYS FORECASTED?

8 A. Under the affiliated interest borrowing agreement approved by the Commission in 9 Docket No. G007,011/AI-09-1108, Integrys provides short-term debt to MERC at its cost of external commercial paper. ¹ Integrys no longer issues commercial paper; rather, it is 10 11 now funded by WEC Energy Group commercial paper. For the 2018 test year, the short-12 term debt rate is calculated with an assumption of LIBOR rate increases between March 13 2017 and the end of the test year. The forecasted short-term debt rate applicable to WEC 14 Energy Group commercial paper is 2.71 percent for the test year. This is one portion of the short-term interest cost for MERC.² 15

16

¹ The Commission approved MERC's affiliated interest agreement for short-term borrowing from Integrys in its April 20, 2010, Order Approving Affiliated Interest Borrowing Agreement. *In the Matter of the Annual Capital Structure Filing of Minn. Energy Res. Corp. and Request for Approval of Affiliated Interest Agreement*, Docket No. G-007,011/AI-09-1108, ORDER APPROVING AFFILIATED INTEREST BORROWING AGREEMENT (Apr. 20, 2010).

² See Exhibit ____ (LJG-1), page 3 of 4.

1	Q.	HAVE CHANGES IN INTEREST RATES SINCE MARCH OF THIS YEAR HELPED
2		CONFIRM THE REASONABLENESS OF MERC'S SHORT-TERM DEBT RATE?
3	A.	Yes. Since March, as the Federal Reserve has been raising the Federal Funds rate,
4		LIBOR rates have increased slightly more than anticipated, so an updated forecast for
5		2018 would be slightly higher than the rates incorporated here. MERC's short-term debt
6		rate is based on the lower rates as of earlier in the year. Further, interest rates available
7		for short-term debt have been increasing in recent years; the increase in short-term debt
8		costs for MERC are consistent with this overall trend.
9		
10	Q.	WHAT MODIFICATIONS WERE APPROVED FOR THE INTERCOMPANY
11		SHORT-TERM LENDING AGREEMENT WITH INTEGRYS IN DOCKET G011/AI-
12		17-303?
13	A.	In September of this year, the Commission approved the Company's requested changes
14		to increase the short-term borrowing limit from \$50 million to \$100 million; to update the
15		named counter-party from Integrys Energy Group to Integrys Holding Inc.; and to update
16		the commercial paper reference rate to that issued by WEC Energy Group, Inc. The
17		second and third of these changes were largely administrative in nature, to reflect the
18		proper involved parties following the WEC/Integrys merger.
19		
20	Q.	WHAT IS THE BENEFIT OF HAVING THIS ADDITIONAL SHORT-TERM
21		BORROWING CAPACITY?
22	A.	The Company requested the increase in the borrowing limit to give it more flexibility to
23		utilize short-term debt as needed to fund MERC's growing capital expenditure plan. A

2 inc	crease the short-term debt allocated to MERC from \$50 million to \$100 million, if
3 wo	orking capital needs allow. For example, Exhibit (LJG-1), page 3 of 4 indicates
4 M	ERC anticipates nearing its prior \$50 million ceiling in November of 2018; the
5 add	ditional borrowing capacity provides liquidity in the event the Company needs access
6 to	additional short-term capital.
7	
8 Fu	orther, the short-term debt limit of \$100 million brings MERC's short-term debt limit as
9 a p	percentage of total capitalization generally in line with the other gas utilities within
10 W	EC Energy Group.
11	
12 MI	ERC is also expanding its natural gas distribution system in and around the City of
13 Ro	ochester, Minnesota. The increased short-term debt limit will help fund this and other
14 cap	pital projects in between long-term debt issuances.
15	
16 Q. W	HAT IS THE IMPACT OF THE MODIFICATIONS APPROVED IN DOCKET NO.
17 G0	011/AI-17-303 ON MERC'S SHORT-TERM DEBT FOR THE 2018 TEST YEAR?
18 A. Th	ne increase in MERC's short-term debt borrowing limit does not affect the applicable
19 rat	tes, but does somewhat increase the fees associated with short-term debt borrowing
20 cap	pacity. WEC Energy Group uses a specific allocation method for credit facility costs
21 to	reflect that some of the operating companies require different levels of support than
22 the	eir overall asset level may imply because some companies have unique lines of
23 bu	siness or their own external credit facilities available. For example, Wisconsin Public

1		Service, Wisconsin Electric, Wisconsin Gas, and Peoples Gas have their own external
2		credit facilities. None of these companies are allocated credit facility costs except for
3		Peoples Gas, which needs additional credit support from the parent.
4		
5		The percentage of fees assigned to MERC reflect the ratio of total short-term debt limits
6		for MERC to the total WEC Energy Group facility amount. The increase in credit rating
7		and credit facility fees therefore reflects the increase in borrowing capacity to MERC,
8		which in turn provides the liquidity benefits described above. Guarantee fees are a
9		function of gas transported through pipelines.
10		
11	Q.	HAVE THE CHANGES TO MERC'S SHORT-TERM BORROWING CAPACITY
12		MATERIALLY AFFECTED ITS OVERALL DEBT TO EQUITY RATIO?
13	A.	No. Due to the greater capital expenditures planned for MERC, MERC's total capital
14		needs (debt and equity) have grown as a whole. Because the request to increase short-
15		term borrowing is due to a larger balance sheet, the proportions of equity, long-term debt
16		and short-term debt are remaining relatively stable, with the percentage of capital
17		attributable to short-term debt declining a small amount to reflect the increased rates of
18		short-term debt at a time when long-term debt rates have declined.
19		
20		Overall, the Company's mix of short-term and long-term debt provides funding at very
21		low costs and enables MERC to finance its increasing investments on behalf of
22		customers.
23		

1 2	IV.	WEC/INTEGRYS MERGER DOCKET COMPLIANCE: BORROWING AND COST OF DEBT
3	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS SECTION OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
4	A.	In this section of my testimony, I address order points from the Commission's Order in
5		Docket No. G011/PA-14-664 ("Merger Docket") related to the Company's borrowing
6		and cost of debt. Specifically, I address Order Points 3-14, 4, and 14 from the Merger
7		Docket.
8		
9	Q.	WHAT DOES THE COMMISSION'S ORDER IN THE MERGER DOCKET
10		REQUIRE REGARDING INTERCOMPANY LENDING AND BORROWING?
11	A.	Order Point 3-14 of the Commission's Order Approving Merger Subject to Conditions,
12		prohibits MERC from establishing new intercompany lending or borrowing agreements
13		unless the arrangement costs less than other alternatives. As noted above, MERC issued
14		long-term debt via the private placement market in June 2017, at rates below its then-
15		outstanding intercompany debt. Short-term debt is provided by Integrys under an
16		existing agreement that was approved by the Commission in 2010 and modified in
17		Docket No. G011/AI-17-303.
18		
19	Q.	WHAT DOES ORDER POINT 4 OF THE MERGER DOCKET REQUIRE?
20	A.	Order Point 4 requires that if MERC's cost of debt increases during the next three
21		calendar years (2016-2018), Minnesota ratepayers will be held harmless from any rate
22		impact unless MERC can demonstrate that its increased cost of debt was not caused by
23		the proposed transaction.
24		

1	Q.	DOES MERC'S COST OF DEBT INCREASE FROM THE 2016 HISTORICAL YEAR
2		TO THE 2018 PROPOSED TEST YEAR?
3	A.	No. As noted above, MERC's weighted cost of debt is decreasing. In particular,
4		MERC's cost of debt decreased from 4.11 percent in 2016 to 3.62 percent in the test year
5		The forecasted 2018 test year cost of debt is also a decrease from a cost of debt of 4.92
6		percent prior to the WEC/Integrys merger.
7		
8	Q.	IS THE MERGER WITH WEC THE CAUSE OF THE INCREASE IN THE COST OF
9		SHORT-TERM DEBT OR DECREASE IN THE COST OF LONG-TERM DEBT?
10	A.	No. As previously noted, the changes in the cost of debt were due to independent market
11		conditions (such as LIBOR rates) and to MERC's increase in short-term debt borrowing
12		capacity to fund MERC's own capital expenditure program.
13		
14	Q.	WHAT DOES ORDER POINT 14 OF THE MERGER DOCKET REQUIRE?
15	A.	MERC must request and obtain Commission approval pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 216B.48
16		(affiliated interest approval) and/or Minn. Stat. § 216B.49 (approval of issuances that
17		encumber Minnesota property) before it includes any debt provided by its parent
18		companies in its capital structure.
19		
20	Q.	DID MERC'S PRIVATE ISSUANCE OF LONG-TERM DEBT IN 2017 ENCUMBER
21		PROPERTY IN MINNESOTA?
22	A.	No. As explained in MERC's November 2, 2016, letter submitted in Docket No.
23		G011/PA-14-664, MERC's private issuance was for unsecured long-term debt and

1		therefore did not result in the encumbrance of any of MERC's property in Minnesota.
2		Therefore, no filing under Order Point 14 of the Merger Docket was required.
3		
4	Q.	ARE THERE ANY FORECASTED LONG-TERM DEBT ISSUANCES IN THE TEST
5		YEAR?
6	A.	No, there are no forecasted long-term debt issuances in the test year.
7		
8	Q.	DID MERC REQUEST AND OBTAIN APPROVAL FOR ITS INCREASE IN SHORT-
9		TERM DEBT FROM INTEGRYS PURSUANT TO MINN. STAT. § 216B.48?
10	A.	Yes. As noted above, the Commission approved MERC's requested modification to the
11		Affiliated Interest Borrowing Agreement with Integrys by Order dated September 27,
12		2017, in Docket No. G011/AI-17-303. As such, these Order Points from the Merger
13		Docket are satisfied.
14		
15		V. THE COMMON EQUITY RATIO
16	Q.	WHAT COMMON EQUITY RATIO AND OVERALL RATE OF RETURN IS
17		APPROPRIATE FOR MERC?
18	A.	A common equity ratio of 50.90 percent for the 2018 proposed test year is appropriate for
19		MERC. MERC is also requesting a 10.30 percent ROE for the 2018 proposed test year as
20		described in the Direct Testimony of Ms. Ann Bulkley. Together, these proposals (along
21		with the cost of debt) result in an overall reasonable rate of return of 7.02 percent.
22		

- Q. DID MERC'S YEAR END ADJUSTED COMMON EQUITY INCREASE BETWEEN
 THE 2016 HISTORICAL YEAR AND THE 2108 TEST YEAR?
- 3 A. Yes. MERC's year end adjusted common equity increased from approximately \$152.3M
- for the 2016 historical year to approximately \$162.3M for the 2018 proposed test year.
- 5 The change in MERC's year end adjusted common equity is due to retained earnings net
- of equity returns to Integrys, and a net reduction in utility equity adjustments.

7

- 8 A summary of the changes to MERC's adjusted common equity is shown in Table 2,
- 9 below, with amounts in thousands:

10 11 12

Table 2
Change in MERC Adjusted Common Equity

Actual 12/31/16	\$152,259
Retained Earnings	30,175
Net Equity Returns	(28,267)
Net Reduction in Utility Equity Adjustments	
Deferred Comp Equity Adjustment	(37)
Goodwill Related Deferred Taxes	8,188
Forecast 12/31/18	\$162,318

13

- 14 Q. WHY DOES MERC RECOMMEND THIS COMMON EQUITY RATIO?
- 15 A. There are several reasons. First, it is MERC's goal to establish a common equity ratio
 16 that is commensurate with the risk of the Company and that provides a fair return to its
 17 parent (which in turn provides a fair return to investors). MERC believes this equity ratio
 18 achieves these goals. Second, during the 2016 historical test year, MERC maintained an

1		actual 51.45 percent average common equity ratio; that equity ratio is actually somewhat
2		higher than the ratio MERC is requesting in this proceeding. Third, this ratio is just
3		slightly higher than the 50.32 percent that was approved in the Company's last rate case,
4		Docket No. G011/GR-15-736. Fourth, this ratio is on the low end of the companies
5		included in Ms. Bulkley's proxy group. ³
6		
7	Q.	HOW DO THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED COMMON EQUITY RATIO AND ROE
8		ADDRESS MERC'S BUSINESS RISK?
9	A.	MERC is a small utility competing for capital in a larger marketplace, with a high
10		concentration of large customers in the cyclical mining business. MERC needs
11		increasing amounts of capital in the years ahead due to its customers' capital expansion
12		needs - including in Rochester and other areas of greater Minnesota. Each of these
13		factors and circumstances presents risks to investments in MERC.
14		
15		Business risk can be offset somewhat with decreased financial risk by maintaining a
16		lower debt ratio (and a higher common equity ratio), which in turn increases interest
17		coverage. Interest coverage is an indication of the amount of cash flow required to make
18		interest payments. A lower debt ratio leads to lower interest payments and higher interest
19		coverage, all of which indicate decreased financial risk. Such ratios help MERC attract
20		capital to finance its investments on behalf of customers.
21		

 $^{^3}$ See Section IX of the Direct Testimony of Ann Bulkley for a comparison of the Company's proposed capital structure to that of the proxy group.

1	Q.	WHAT OTHER BENEFITS DOES A CAPITAL STRUCTURE WITH AN
2		ADEQUATE COMMON EQUITY RATIO PROVIDE?
3	A.	An adequate common equity ratio is critical to maintaining MERC's position as a quality
4		utility that is both able to attract capital and provide quality gas service. In its own right,
5		MERC is a relatively small utility that does not directly compete for equity capital in the
6		marketplace. However, MERC does receive equity through its parent, which in turn must
7		attract capital from marketplace investors. MERC customers benefit from the Company
8		being part of a larger entity that is able to utilize scalability to deliver quality platforms
9		for service. For example, MERC likely could not attract the same level of capital its
10		parent can attract.
11		
12		At the same time, this also means MERC is a relatively small component of a larger
13		organization and that organization must consider the levels of investment necessary to
14		deliver high quality gas delivery service to be of value. Providing MERC with an
15		adequate authorized ROE and capital structure will help ensure that MERC has access to
16		capital at reasonable rates when MERC needs it, which in turn promotes financial
17		stability and therefore reliable operations on behalf of customers.
18		
19	Q.	IN SUMMARY, WHAT IS YOUR RECOMMENDATION REGARDING THE
20		REQUIRED COMMON EQUITY RATIO AND THE REQUIRED ROE FOR THE 2018
21		PROPOSED TEST YEAR?
22	A.	MERC recommends that the average common equity ratio be set at 50.90 percent with an
23		ROE of 10.30 percent. These values are recommended because:

	1. They reflect the business risk associated with the utility industry and with MERC
	in particular;
	2. They recognize that MERC has delivered, and will continue to deliver, reliable
	service at a reasonable cost to its customers. Therefore, the shareholder should be
	properly compensated for delivering on its commitment to those customers; and
	3. They reflect a cost of capital that will help keep customer costs at reasonable
	levels during MERC's current capital investment period.
	VI. CONCLUSION
Q.	IN YOUR OPINION, DOES THE PROPOSED CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND COST
	OF CAPITAL PROVIDE A REASONABLE BASIS FOR ESTABLISHING RATES IN
	THIS CASE?
A.	Yes. The proposed capital structure and cost of capital is reasonable and supports the
	revenue increase MERC has requested in this case.
Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY ON CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND
	COST OF CAPITAL?
A.	Yes, it does.
	A. Q.

Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation Capital Structure Amounts in thousands

			201	6 Historic Cap	ital Structure	_	Weighted Cost			
Line No.	Description	Reference		Amount	Percent Capital	Cost Rate %	Debt	Capital		
1 2 3	Long-Term Debt	Exhibit(LJG-1) Page 2	\$	86,923	36.38%	4.96%	3.72%	1.80%		
4 5	Short-Term Debt	Exhibit(LJG-1) Page 3		29,058	12.16%	1.58%	0.40%	0.19%		
6 7	Adjusted Common Equity	Exhibit(LJG-1) Page 4		122,931	51.45%	9.11%		4.69%		
8	Total Capital		\$	238,912	100.00%	- -	4.11%	6.68%		
9 10			2017	['] Projected Cap	oital Structure	<u> </u>	Weighted	d Cost		
11 12 13	Description	Reference		Amount	Percent Capital	Cost Rate %	Debt	Capital		
14 15 16	Long-Term Debt	Exhibit(LJG-1) Page 2	\$	100,059	38.00%	4.02%	3.14%	1.53%		
17 18	Short-Term Debt	Exhibit(LJG-1) Page 3		27,987	10.63%	2.72%	0.59%	0.29%		
19 20	Adjusted Common Equity	Exhibit(LJG-1) Page 4		135,268	51.37%	9.11%		4.68%		
21	Total Capital		\$	263,314	100.00%	=	3.74%	6.50%		
22 23 24			2018	Proposed Ca	pital Structure	_	Weighted	d Cost		
25 26	Description	Reference		Amount	Percent Capital	Cost Rate %	Debt	Capital		
27 28 29	Long-Term Debt	Exhibit(LJG-1) Page 2	\$	119,020	39.63%	3.60%	2.90%	1.43%		
30 31	Short-Term Debt	Exhibit(LJG-1) Page 3		28,448	9.47%	3.70%	0.71%	0.35%		
32 33	Adjusted Common Equity	Exhibit(LJG-1) Page 4		152,874	50.90%	10.30%		5.24%		
34	Total Capital		\$	300,342	100.00%	=	3.62%	7.02%		

Page 2 of 4

Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation Long-Term Debt Amounts in thousands

Line No.	Description	Description Reference		Historic 2016		Additional Borrowings		Projected 2017		Additional Borrowings		roposed 2018
1			-								-	
2	December	General Ledger	\$	107,000	\$	(29,000)	\$	78,000	\$	42,000	\$	120,000
3	January	General Ledger		107,000		(29,000)		78,000		42,000		120,000
4	February	General Ledger		107,000		(29,000)		78,000		42,000		120,000
5	March	General Ledger		107,000		(29,000)		78,000		42,000		120,000
6	April	General Ledger		78,000		-		78,000		42,000		120,000
7	May	General Ledger		78,000		-		78,000		42,000		120,000
8	June	General Ledger		78,000		42,000		120,000		-		120,000
9	July	General Ledger		78,000		42,000		120,000		-		120,000
10	August	General Ledger		78,000		42,000		120,000		-		120,000
11	September	General Ledger		78,000		42,000		120,000		-		120,000
12	October	General Ledger		78,000		42,000		120,000		-		120,000
13	November	General Ledger		78,000		42,000		120,000		-		120,000
14	December	General Ledger		78,000		42,000		120,000		-		120,000
15												
16	Average Long-Term Debt	13 Month Average of Lines 2 - 14	\$	86,923			\$	100,615			\$	120,000
17	Unamortized Debt Expense	13 Month Average						(556)				(980)
18	Average Net Long-Term Debt		\$	86,923			\$	100,059			\$	119,020
19												
20	Annual Interest Expense		\$	4,309			\$	3,987			\$	4,212
21	Amortization of Debt Expense			-				35				70
22	Total Interest Expense	General Ledger	\$	4,309			\$	4,022			\$	4,282
23			-				1				-	
24	Interest Rate on Interest Expense	Line 20 ÷ Line 18		4.96%				3.98%				3.54%
25	Interest Rate on Amortization	Line 21 ÷ Line 18						0.03%				0.06%
26	Total Interest Rate on Long-Term Debt	Sum of Lines 24 - 25		4.96%				4.02%				3.60%

Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation Short-Term Debt

Amounts in thousands

31

Line No.	Description	Reference	Historic 2016				Projected 2017		Additional Borrowings		Proposed 2018	
1												
2	December	General Ledger	\$	26,600	\$	9,750	\$	36,350	\$	(2,632)	\$	33,718
3	January	General Ledger		28,350		4,275		32,625		(1,810)		30,815
4	February	General Ledger		12,100		12,025		24,125		(2,644)		21,481
5	March	General Ledger		-		41,341		41,341		(32,068)		9,273
6	April	General Ledger		26,925		13,035		39,960		(31,493)		8,467
7	May	General Ledger		25,200		15,068		40,268		(30,074)		10,194
8	June	General Ledger		24,425		(18,637)		5,788		13,716		19,504
9	July	General Ledger		30,425		(19,143)		11,282		15,124		26,406
10	August	General Ledger		35,475		(20,419)		15,056		18,402		33,458
11	September	General Ledger		42,400		(22,894)		19,506		18,354		37,860
12	October	General Ledger		48,600		(20,680)		27,920		16,573		44,493
13	November	General Ledger		40,900		(5,003)		35,897		13,830		49,727
14	December	General Ledger		36,350		(2,632)		33,718		10,709		44,427
15		-				, ,						
16	Average	13 Month Average of Lines 2 - 14	\$	29,058	\$	(1,070)	\$	27,987	\$	461	\$	28,448
17	-	-			====	<u> </u>						
18	Annual Interest on Debt Balance	General Ledger	\$	303			\$	537			\$	747
19		3.5	•				•				,	
20	Credit Facility Fees*	General Ledger	\$	45			\$	96			\$	149
21	Guarantee Fees	General Ledger	•	111			•	121			,	145
22	Rating Agency Fees	General Ledger						7				11
23	Total Other Short-Term Interest	Sum of Lines 19-21	\$	156			\$	224			\$	305
24			*				*				*	
25	Total Short-Term Interest Expense	General Ledger	\$	459			\$	761			\$	1,052
26		3.5										
27	Interest Rate on Short-Term Debt	Line 18 ÷ Line 16		1.04%				1.92%				2.63%
28	Other Short-Term Interest	Line 23 ÷ Line 16		0.54%				0.80%				1.07%
29	Total Interest Rate on Short-Term Debt	Sum of Lines 27 - 28	-	1.58%				2.72%				3.70%
	Total interest hate on Short-Term Debt	Sulli Of Lifles 21 - 20		1.00%				2.12%				3.70%
30												

^{*}Credit Facility Upfront Fee Amortization & Quarterly Commitment Fees

Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation Average Common Equity Amounts in thousands

Line	Amounts in thousands		Historic	Additional Paid	Retained	Projected	Additional Paid	Retained	Proposed
No.	Description	Reference	2016	In Capital	Earnings	2017	In Capital	Earnings	2018
1 2	Common Stock								
3	December	General Ledger	201,221	31,011	13,951	246,183	(39,998)	14,433	220,618
4	January	General Ledger	205,840	31,011	14,043	250,894	(28,272)	16,922	239,544
5	February	General Ledger	216,675	25,013	12,999	254,687	(28,272)	18,514	244,928
6	March	General Ledger	219,335	(4,988)	13,151	227,498	1,728	18,588	247,814
7	April	General Ledger	219,880	(4,989)	13,874	228,765	1,730	18,656	249,151
8	May	General Ledger	220,232	(4,990)	13,324	228,566	1,729	17,794	248,088
9	June	General Ledger	216,789	(14,991)	15,700	217,498	11,729	13,450	242,677
10	July	General Ledger	215,652	(14,993)	15,745	216,404	11,731	11,672	239,808
11	August	General Ledger	216,189	(14,994)	14,042	215,237	11,731	10,068	237,037
12	September	General Ledger	215,397	(14,995)	14,225	214,627	11,731	8,702	235,060
13	October	General Ledger	216,202	(14,996)	13,939	215,145	11,731	9,443	236,320
14	November	General Ledger	233,878	(29,997)	13,287	217,168	11,731	10,948	239,847
15 16	December	General Ledger	246,183	(39,998)	14,433	220,618	11,731	15,742	248,090
17 18	Average	13 Month Average of Lines 3 - 15	218,729	(5,607)	14,055	227,176	(711)	14,226	240,691
19 20	Equity Adjustments			Goodwill re Deferred Tax	Def Comp		Goodwill re Deferred Tax	Def Comp	
21	December	General Ledger	97,578	(4,161)	507	93,924	(4,095)	37	89,866
22	January	General Ledger	97,167	(4,097)	547	93,617	(4,094)		89,523
23	February	General Ledger	96,996	(4,257)	536	93,275	(4,093)		89,181
24	March	General Ledger	96,881	(4,500)	553	92,934	(4,094)		88,840
25	April	General Ledger	96,299	(4,262)	556	92,593	(4,094)		88,499
26	May	General Ledger	96,335	(4,641)	558	92,252	(4,093)		88,158
27	June	General Ledger	95,447	(4,097)	561	91,911	(4,094)		87,817
28	July	General Ledger	95,630	(4,097)	37	91,570	(4,095)		87,476
29	August	General Ledger	95,289	(4,098)	37	91,228	(4,095)		87,134
30	September	General Ledger	94,948	(4,098)	37	90,887	(4,094)		86,793
31	October	General Ledger	94,607	(4,098)	37	90,546	(4,095)		86,452
32	November	General Ledger	94,266	(4,098)	37	90,205	(4,094)		86,111
33 34	December	General Ledger	93,924	(4,095)	37	89,866	(4,093)		85,772
35 36	Average	13 Month Average of Lines 20 - 32	95,797	(4,200)	311	91,908	(4,094)		87,817
37 38	Adjusted Common Equity	-				450.050			100 750
39 40	December January	General Ledger General Ledger	103,643 108,673			152,259 157,277			130,752 150,021
40	February	General Ledger	119,679			161,412			155,747
42	March	General Ledger	122,454			134,564			158,974
43	April	General Ledger	123,581			136,172			160,652
44	May	General Ledger	123,897			136,314			159,930
45	June	General Ledger	121,342			125,587			154,860
46	July	General Ledger	120,022			124,834			152,332
47 48	August September	General Ledger General Ledger	120,900 120,449			124,009 123,740			149,903 148,267
49	October	General Ledger	121,595			124,599			149,868
50	November	General Ledger	139,612			126,963			153,736
51 52	December	General Ledger	152,259			130,752			162,318
53 54	Average	13 Month Average of Lines 37 - 49	122,931			135,268			152,874
55	Return on Equity		9.11%			9.11%			10.30%